1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney			
2	DAVID W. SPENCEŘ Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100			
3				
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America			
7	Officed States of America			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-189-DJC		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER		
14	AARON IRIBE, and DANIEL LOPEZ,	DATE: July 6, 2023		
15	·	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta		
16	Defendants.			
17				
18	8 STIPULATION			
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on July 6, 2023.			
20	2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until			
21	September 7, 2023, and to exclude time between July 6, 2023, and September 7, 2023, under Local			
22	Code T4.			
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:			
24	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case			
25	includes approximately 148 pages of reports, search warrants, and other documents, as well as			
26	multiple audio and video recordings and the contents of seized cell phones. All of this discovery			
27	has been either produced directly to coun	sel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
28				

- b) On October 11, 2022, defendant Daniel Lopez made his initial appearance in this district and attorney Mark Reichel was appointed to represent him. ECF No. 59.
- c) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to review this discovery, conduct factual investigation, evaluate the charges and potential defenses, consult with their respective clients, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- d) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 6, 2023 to September 7, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 30, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ DAVID W. SPENCER
DAVID W. SPENCER
Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:21-cr-00189-DJC Document 95 Filed 07/05/23 Page 3 of 3

1 2	Dated: June 30, 2023 /s/ Jennifer Mouzis Jennifer Mouzis Counsel for Defendant AARON IRIBE	
3 4	Dated: June 30, 2023 /s/ Mark Reichel Mark Reichel	
5	Counsel for Defendant DANIEL LOPEZ	
6		
7		
8	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
9	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 30 th day of June, 2023.	
10		
11	/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA	_
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		